

Interestingly the very creation of a lifeboat is a disturbing factor for both left and right politicians alike. The latter is disturbed about the lack of a nationalistic narrative within the operation of the arkist. The former is bewildered about the lack of a scientific, political-correct and collectivist approach in the creation of such an autonomous entity. Generally speaking then the arkist is always to expect a certain kind of retaliation from any of the state-centered and power-hungry parties, whether leaning to the right or to the left. Under this line of thinking arkism has learned its lesson, a lesson that any anarchist movement ought to learn: as soon as the arkist manages to get in place his or her libertarian project he or she can be stubbed in the front by the right wingers and in the back by the left wingers. The latter perhaps are the more dangerous given the sort of brotherhood affinities that are often imposed on them.

Mikhail Bakunin in this respect was right to promptly disassociate from Karl Marx's dictatorial and centralizing tendencies giving rise to many a catastrophic regimes. Yet this lesson is often forgotten despite the anarchist revolutions in Spain and Mexico as accounted respectively by George Orwell and John Reed and in Ukraine as experienced by Nestor Makhno. All these anarchic attempts to set up a libertarian type of society have been murdered by the so-called communist brothers. In this respect, having learned this lesson arkism, in all its libertarian aspiration only prepares for the right moment in which the ship representing the state-centered systems will inevitably collapse. Now this ship is one big global village populated by middle-class people who try to live up their upper-class dreams. In other words the human population confined within the ship have acquired an aristocratic tendency nature can no longer afford. Politics have reduced themselves to the fight for the right over resources, the resources of a carcass. Mother nature is this carcass, unable to regenerate herself under the coercive dominance of nation-states exploiting her until nothing will be left of her.

If the ghost dance gave hope to the native people of North America that the sudden colonization of the wilderness would come to an end, that the domestication and industrialization and the consequent pollution and destruction of the generous mother nature actuated by a greedy civilization would disappear, arkists see no other hope but in opposing the politics of destruction by acting in the opposite direction. If the ship of civilization wants them to specialize in order to empower it, the arkists learn a multitude of skills to enable themselves to build a lifeboat to escape. In this sense they depower the ship like no other type of politics of resistance. Moreover if the ship of civilization wants arkists to get rid of all the human nature flourishing within them so as to enforce its monoculture of total control and predictability, arkists invest the whole of themselves to boost

their latent talents and rewild their very self. The arkist agenda is thus far from the one of other political organizations that aim to revolutionize society. Arkism is in fact aware that the populace of the ship is by now way too dependent to the commodities the ship has gotten them use to from their birth. Humans on the ship has been born in a cage and would just feel very awkward to live outside it. Rather they only wish this cage to be more luxurious and they explore new forms of perversion and dissipation to entertain themselves.

The sort of dissipation staged by individuals on board of the ship of civilization would be quite alright if it wasn't that it directly and dramatically affect other people who also get enslaved to sustain such a vicious circle and the environment who has to constantly provide the means and resources to feed such a greedy and omnipresent nobility. Not only arkists go the opposite direction then wanting to become nobles but recover the traits of their hunter-gatherer ancestors, traits that are so indispensable in order to set up their arks, the lifeboats that will enable them to escape when the ship will sink. To be more specific the ship of civilization is already sinking, people are already drowning, politics are going mad and the arkist is who is able to detach from such a self-destructive process and constructively set up a space of common sense, a space, the lifeboats that does not sink, nor it attempts to fly out in space like the rockets of the real billionaires. The arkist ark stay afloat. Wherever the stream will push it will be a suprise, a surprise the arkist with all his and her naturally accumulated heritage will be able to adapt to.

Now this is not mere fantasy or speculation. The whole of civilization, whether that of the white men with their Western empire or whether that of the black Bantu people or the ruthless Maya and Inca and Aztecs or the highly autocratic Mesopotamia regime or that of Asia on which nation-states are based, all civilizations with their power-structure and imperialistic aspiration have been constantly displacing and murdering hunter-gatherer people and have systematically destroyed the wilderness in which they so delicately thrived. It does no matter whether these civilizations were agricultural or pastoral or industrial. The more effective they were in propagating destruction the less long-lasting. The ultimate fact is that hunter-gatherers have been able to survive in the most hostile environment and this surviving was still a rather happy one. Arkists are those who enable their own human nature to survive, disassociating themselves from the regimentation the ship requires from them. In boasting their humanness they become super-hunter-gatherers. In a post-apocalyptic scenario surviving will be also based on the scavenging of the debris of civilization and for this type of surviving many a multitude of skills ought to be acquired and the tinkering of autonomous lifeboats to escape the ship goes in this direction.

Now this escape is in a sense more poetic than political. It is the ultimate form of non-hypocritical compassion for a life being threatened and

perverted by a political system of power and total dominance and non-regenerative exploitation. it goes against the more naive idea that civilization as such can continue, that it can become more sustainable and can even help the wilderness to pick up. All such attempts within the political sphere of power have been feeble and overall must have consumed more resources to organize them than the ones they were able to regenerate. Regeneration begins with each individual getting rid of the artificial uterus connecting him or her to the system, naked individuals beginning on their own to in the first place grow the oppressed human nature within them and from their oppose to the system by conceiving the way out of it.