

OUTDOORS 01

Is it possible to envision something arkists could define as counter-colonialism? Colonialism ought to be conceived not as a race subjugating another but rather as a new civilization taking over an older one. It is clear that at the end of the pyramid are hunter-gatherers who have been progressively displaced by pastoral and agricultural people and ultimately by the industrial people. Considering hunter-gathering as the most successful and long-lasting human society, how is it possible to invert the trend of a civilization that has exponentially multiplied its destructive power the more it has progressed? To invert the colonization not only of people but of nature at large from the greedy hands of civilization there could be the violent practices advocated by revolutionists such as Ted Kaczinsky or as arkists suggest, a revolution can already occur at the individual level. This revolution implies a change of focus. Arkists are no longer to compete for a share of power in the hierarchical megamachine of the ship-state in which they are hosted but ought to focus on the totally opposite energy and that is the energy of making it off the ship by preparing lifeboats to do so.

Now the relation between an arkist and a hunter-gatherer seems far fetched but looking closely arkists in their pledge of building lifeboats to make it off the ship already do what hunter-gatherers did and that is they cultivate nothing but themselves, they invest in their human being subtracting themselves from the more or less bloody regimentation imposed by the ship, a regimentation that turns people numbed and contribute to the total suicidal of society as well as of the environment. So lastly while not literally rewilding the little gardens and parks of the ship arkists aspire to restore a primeval nature that is right the opposite from the closed and indoors based living the ship with its very architecture imposes people from the start, placing them in incubators right from their birth and just giving them the prospect of slightly bigger and more commodities filled incubators as they show obedience and a good-service as part of their blind career. Outdoors then is ultimately the place arkists aim to achieve, liberating themselves a humanity from the prison cells in which they were born but also liberating nature from the constant exploitation of the ship.

It is the ship exploitation of nature that ultimately does not consent the natural world to regain its primordial state, a state

that has been partly cruel but certainly generous and rewarding, allowing ancient people to be spontaneous and free and thriving in their social group. As much of a romanticization this may sound, there is an avalanche of evidence that this was indeed the case, that by living their hunter-gatherer state humans left a garden of eden only for the sake of having more and more control over the environment while losing control over themselves and becoming miserable. Arkism advocated right this, the building of lifeboats that are in a way the regaining of control of human nature, a human nature that is in the meanwhile also attacked by the big techs governing with their automated algorithms the ship, dictating the new directions. Well for arkists the direction is clear and that is that of gaining full autonomy, to regain an independent humanness in order to be ready to disembark.

Now how arkists environ a life out of the ship-prison and its indoors and gentrified and sterile environments is certainly not a life in the outdoors that is sterile so as not to contaminate the healing nature. Not only arkists ought to steward the natural world to reacquire their primordial state but they ought to themselves keep up with the growth of their own human nature into something primordial. Nature ought to be celebrated and if on the ship arkists ought to often operate under cover and either way they are hindered from manifesting their own autonomy, this nature ought to be fully manifested in the outdoors. This attitude should contrast the other impulse of a greedy humanity who wants to instead only appropriate itself of something for the sake or only having it resulting in the enslavement of others. Once off the ship and back in the land arkists should build their temples to celebrate their nature following no dogmas. In the bottom up building of megaliths they will again find their way to channel with the universe and be part of the bigger whole rather than little nerds confined in little offices only executing top down orders.

In this sense communalism will come along but people should be encouraged to manifest their human nature and by so doing recharging themselves of an energy that goes beyond a type of gray and socialist like mutualism. The lifeboats here are then only the seeds of not only a natural world turning magnificent and primordial but also a society no longer concerned with a worldliness that can only be overcome with power and subsequently war but a society concerned with a full celebration of humanity. In this sense the authoritarian communists of the past can be dismissed but even the more syndicalist type of

anarchists. The vision is that of human coexistence with nature that is a full manifestation of their coexistence as well as their existence in an infinite universe. Without necessarily pointing out one or more gods arkists back in the land can see in them and everyone possible vehicles through which the celebration of life can take place and not the occasional celebration of power wanted by the ship with its megarituals only enforcing its central system. In the outdoors there is place for everyone to exalt nature and in this exaltation gaining such an energy that the duties are communal life are just a trifle since also the community is invigorated with an energy that was similar to that of decentralized communities all sharing their skills and in a frenzy to erect their temples and cathedrals without any hierarchical structure imposing on them such a construction.