



Fig.026 Illustration showing a new clergy on board of the ship. While breaking with former institutionalized religions of oppression any ideology, even the most rightful one will inevitably turn oppressive only putting the yoke from one group to another. Unless the pyramidal system on which modern society is based will wither, forms of oppressions and domination will keep on existing. In the illustration a priest breaks the wafer in the shape of a heart and the incense spread by the two altar girls is spread on three persons below who could represent the previous ruling class now dismissed. Two clouds of incense are generated giving rise to the rainbow which could be symbolically by now the replacement of the cross in western civilization.

ARCHAISM 02

Any ideology of liberation once it gets authoritarian only results in a new oppressive regime. Christianity was one ideology which from the beginning retained powerful principles of equality and mutualism yet once they became official they gradually turned into a highly oppressive regime. Socialism and feminism and anti-fascism and environmentalism and all the good faiths promoted by the people can equally become organized forms of oppression once they are given power to operate from the centralized institutions governing the ship. There is no doubt that even if the ship got rid of the old oppressive mechanism such as institutional Christianity a new institution of coercion slowly takes its place. At first everyone applauds it because the principles it

perpetuates are very right. With time however a new ruling class and a new clergy is established, a class and a clergy with its very defined characteristics which lose no time in persecuting anything that doesn't match these characteristics.

The great conspiracy is always to think that there is a conspiracy theory behind those theories that are critical of a power structure. In reality anarchists foresaw this issue already from the start in regards to socialism: if it was going to be implemented through a centralized type of governance it would have turned into an oppressive and tyrannical regime. Unwilling to listen to any anarchist predicament, every attempt of establishing a state-based socialist regime has turned into an oppressive power structure. Even when the socialist state managed to keep the economy going mostly thanks to a lot of resources to be exploited, even then the result was a complete annihilation of the human character for the sake of a monotonous and gray collective.

Arkism is aware that on board of the ship-civilization new ideologies are implemented from the new institutions of power. Now it is relative to say that these institutions are close to the people because in reality these institutions belong to the first class and wealthy ships which are in itself institutions dictating with all their sneaky moralism the rest of humanity on board of the ships of a lower class. The problem again is not the content of this new type of religion that is being developed but the fact that it is done through the institutions of power and they are turned into law and they chrome to clearly define a new form of authority and hegemony over the rest of the population. Arkism is by far critical of any ideology. As anarchism wanted the emancipation of the proletariat but did not want a new proletarian dictatorship, arkism wants the full emancipation of all humans and by so doing the full emancipation of nature but sees that all the new ideologies implemented by the ship enforces it and therefore the chance to truly emancipate the whole planet diminishes.

Any governmental type of emancipation will clearly only give a lot of power to certain classes at the expense of other classes. The system is automatic and in an authoritarian regime there is never a redeemed class without a class getting oppressed, never. Beyond the Bakunian principle that all power corrupts, it is too evident that if a group of people is given the chance to govern, it will eradicate the previous group. All these discussions revolving around inclusivity and gender balance are simply

impracticable as long as the pyramidal system with which society is forced to live with is still in place. It is cynical to think that only by replacing power figures with different bodies society will become less toxic. The level of toxicity will keep on existing and will even increase and turn inquisitorial as soon as suspicions arise that the incredible power accumulated is jeopardized with dissens.

In a power structure that does not want to wither away, where is then a solution going to be found? If power is toxic, the level of toxicity grows the more this power is enforced through the technological apparatus propagating it. No matter whether a regime is made of a dictator, or an oligarchy or some statesmen representing the will of millions of people, it is in the propagation of governance that the level of toxicity deriving from these pyramidal power structures is generated. These toxicity not only invests the people, the crew on board of these highly sealed ships, but also the very captains. Actually the more the people can take part in this pyramidal construction, the more they get intoxicated while the less they can get involved the more the captains get toxic. In either cases then it is essential to step out of the power structure representing the ship. Obviously this cannot be done in practice as all humans are on board of one ship or another and at the most they can just switch ships. At the mental level however a process can begin by which individuals separate themselves from a ship which in turns separate them from their nature. If the ship then is somewhat of a second nature or at least wishes to be one but fails glamorously to do so, arkists are those moving back to a first nature by conceiving an ultra nature, reactivating their minds and growing a superautonomy of the self.

Even anarchists such as Malatesta used to be very little fond nature in general unlike other anarchist-minded people such as Tolstoy. In nature in fact much misery and toil is required unless of course one goes back to a primordial forest and resume the primitive way of hunting and food gathering but this is not only a very little plausible possibility given the little jungle left in the world and the giant population on the planet but also it is a devolution erasing all human achievements indiscriminately. What should be sought in fact is a wrapping up of all these achievements and not even a degrowth but a downscaling of the authoritarian apparatus to smaller and autonomous and collaborative based communal entities. Only in this manner a face to face democracy can be acquired, a democracy bringing every

individual as a member of the community where he or she or they happen to be. In this respect the danger of implementing laws to give the chance to certain groups to become more authoritarian would be eradicated but above all, beside these representation issues, the incredible burden put on the environment for the sustainment of these giant systems of governance would cease at once.